

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/004,521 11/14/2001		1/14/2001	Beomsup Kim	BEKAP007	4390	
21912	7590	12/22/2003		EXAMINER		
VAN PELT			KINKEAD, ARNOLD M			
CUPERTIN		BLVD #200 5014	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,			2817		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/004,52		KIM, BEOMSUP					
			Examiner	'	Art Unit					
			Arnold M K	inkood	2817					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication app	1			 5				
Period fo					•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Ai</i>	uaust 2003.							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims		·							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.									
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/o	or election re	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) <u> </u> acc	epted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.										
Attachme										
2) D Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		6/3/63 du 180		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152					
10.0-11	Trademark Office		<u> </u>			~				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth

in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set

forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (10-0278551 Korea of record)

and further in view of Jeong et al(US 6,094,103 new cite).

The reference shows a multiple LC oscillator(see figures 8,9, and 10) comprising a plurality of LC oscillator

stages that are coupled to preceding and succeeding stages(see figure 10). Each stage having more than two inputs

and two outputs going to a plurality of adjacent stages. Note that one stage in figure 9 has more than two outputs for

coupling to adjacent stages.

The reference does not show four stages for the differential implementation with more than two outputs per

stage, and phase synchronous operation is not explicit. With regards the phase synchronous operation, by virtue of

the connections as shown such, the phase of each stage will be the same, i.e., each will contribute 120 degree(same)

phase.

With regards the use of four stages, the reference by Jeong et al shows four stages(see figure 4) which is

conventional due to the fact that both odd and even number of stages is allowed in a differential design. The more

Application/Control Number: 10/004,521

Art Unit: 2817

stages provides operation at lower frequencies. Please note that all the stages of Jeong et al have more than two

outputs for coupling adjacent stages.

the oscillator has the lower the frequency of operation.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized the phase sync between the stages as a result of the particular coupling and also, the use of even or odd numbers of stages being a conventional design, as shown by Jeong et al, for the differential type ring oscillator. The more stages

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner has considered main argument for the phase of each stage being the same, however, the references do show a similar construction to applicant's figures and also each stage of the ring oscillator will provide an equal phase, such as, 120 degrees(3 stages), or 90(for 4 stages)...

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold M Kinkead whose telephone number is 703-305-3486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,521

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed

to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Arnold M Kinkead Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead
December 15, 2003